



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,012	08/21/2001	Larry A. Coldren	510015-265	1464
33717	7590 07/30/2003		<u> </u>	
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			EXAMINER	
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E SANTA MONICA, CA 90404		FLORES RUIZ, DELMA R		
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A'"	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
₹ Advisory Action	09/935,012	COLDREN ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Delma R. Flores Ruiz	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ed
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ISIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fere. The appropriate extension fee up the final Office action; or (2) as set for	ee nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	nent
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place t	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) X will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	—· P a 0	
10.☐ Other:		Paul Sp	
Andrew Span	SUPERV TECH	PAUL IP ISORY PATENT EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 2800	
S Patent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/935,012

Continuation of 5. Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments is not persuasive. The reference of the rejection showns the invention as claimed. See MPEP 2113. . .